CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

SENATE BILL 5797

Chapter 257, Laws of 2013

63rd Legislature 2013 Regular Session

COURTS--SPECIALTY AND THERAPEUTIC

EFFECTIVE DATE: 08/01/13

Passed by the Senate April 25, 2013 YEAS 47 NAYS 0

BRAD OWEN

President of the Senate

Passed by the House April 12, 2013 YEAS 94 NAYS 1

FRANK CHOPP

Speaker of the House of Representatives

Approved May 15, 2013, 1:59 p.m.

CERTIFICATE

I, Hunter G. Goodman, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby certify that the attached is **SENATE BILL 5797** as passed by the Senate and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth.

HUNTER G. GOODMAN

Secretary

FILED

May 16, 2013

JAY INSLEE

Governor of the State of Washington

Secretary of State State of Washington

SENATE BILL 5797

AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2013 Regular Session

State of Washington

18 19 63rd Legislature

2013 Regular Session

By Senators Hobbs and Padden

Read first time 02/15/13. Referred to Committee on Law & Justice.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to specialty courts; amending RCW 2.28.170,
- 2 2.28.175, 2.28.180, and 2.28.190; adding a new section to chapter 2.28
- 3 RCW; creating new sections; and providing an effective date.
- 4 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 5 NEW SECTION. Sec. 1. The legislature finds that in the state of Washington, there exists a type of court administered by the judiciary 6 7 commonly called a specialty or therapeutic court. Judges in the trial 8 courts throughout the state effectively utilize specialty and therapeutic courts to remove defendants with their consent and the 9 10 consent of the prosecuting authority from the normal criminal court 11 system and allow those defendants the opportunity to obtain treatment 12 services to address particular issues that may have contributed to the 13 conduct that led to their arrest in exchange for dismissal of the Trial courts have proved adept at creative approaches in 14 15 fashioning a wide variety of specialty and therapeutic courts addressing the spectrum of social issues that can contribute to 16 criminal activity. 17

The legislature also finds that there are presently more than

seventy-four specialty and therapeutic courts operating in the state of

p. 1 SB 5797.SL

- 1 Washington that save costs to both the trial courts and law enforcement
- 2 by strategic focus of resources within the criminal justice system.
- 3 There are presently more than fifteen types of specialty and
- 4 therapeutic courts in the state including: Veterans treatment court,
- 5 adult drug court, juvenile drug court, family dependency treatment
- 6 court, mental health court, DUI court, community court, reentry drug
- 7 court, tribal healing to wellness court, truancy court, homeless court,
- 8 domestic violence court, gambling court, and Back on TRAC: Treatment,
- 9 responsibility, accountability on campus.
- 10 The legislature recognizes the inherent authority of the judiciary under Article IV, section 1 of the state Constitution to establish 11 12 specialty and therapeutic courts. The legislature recognizes the 13 outstanding contribution to the state and a local community made by the 14 establishment of specialty and therapeutic courts and desires to provide a general provision in statute acknowledging and encouraging 15 16 the judiciary to provide for such courts to address the particular 17 needs within a given judicial jurisdiction.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 2. A new section is added to chapter 2.28 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) The legislature respectfully encourages the supreme court to adopt any administrative orders and court rules of practice and procedure it deems necessary to support the establishment of effective specialty and therapeutic courts.
 - (2) Any jurisdiction may establish a specialty or therapeutic court under this section and may seek state or federal funding as it becomes available for the establishment, maintenance, and expansion of specialty and therapeutic courts and for the provision by participating agencies of treatment to participating defendants.
 - (3) Any jurisdiction establishing a specialty court shall endeavor to incorporate the treatment court principles of best practices as recognized by state and national treatment court agencies and organizations in structuring a particular program, which may include:
 - (a) Determine the population;
- 34 (b) Perform a clinical assessment;
- 35 (c) Develop the treatment plan;
- 36 (d) Supervise the offender;
- (e) Forge agency, organization, and community partnerships;

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

3031

3233

- 1 (f) Take a judicial leadership role;
 - (g) Develop case management strategies;
 - (h) Address transportation issues;
 - (i) Evaluate the program;

3

4 5

10

11 12

13

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

2930

- (j) Ensure a sustainable program.
- 6 (4) No therapeutic or specialty court may be established 7 specifically for the purpose of applying foreign law, including foreign 8 criminal, civil, or religious law, that is otherwise not required by 9 treaty.
 - (5) Specialty and therapeutic courts shall continue to: (a) Obtain the consent of the prosecuting authority in order to remove a charged offender from the regular course of prosecution and punishment; and (b) comply with sentencing requirements as established in state law.
- 14 (6) No specialty or therapeutic court established by court rule 15 shall enforce a foreign law, if doing so would violate a right 16 guaranteed by the Constitution of this state or of the United States.
 - NEW SECTION. Sec. 3. The superior court judges' association and the district and municipal court judges' association are encouraged to invite other appropriate organizations and convene a work group to examine the structure of all specialty and therapeutic courts in Washington. If such a work group is convened, the legislature requests a recommendation for the structure for such courts in the law and court rules, incorporating principles of best practices relative to a particular court as recognized by state and national treatment court agencies and organizations, to make such courts more effective and more prevalent throughout the state. The legislature requests such recommendations prior to the beginning of the 2014 legislative session, and respectfully requests the supreme court to consider any recommendations from the work group pertaining to necessary changes in court rules.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. For the purposes of this act, "specialty court" and "therapeutic court" both mean a specialized pretrial or sentencing docket in select criminal cases where agencies coordinate work to provide treatment for a defendant who has particular needs.

p. 3 SB 5797.SL

- Sec. 5. RCW 2.28.170 and 2009 c 445 s 2 are each amended to read as follows:
- 3 (1) ((Counties)) <u>Jurisdictions</u> may establish and operate drug drug courts.
 - (2) For the purposes of this section, "drug court" means a court that has special calendars or dockets designed to achieve a reduction in recidivism and substance abuse among nonviolent, substance abusing felony and nonfelony offenders, whether adult or juvenile, by increasing their likelihood for successful rehabilitation through early, continuous, and intense judicially supervised treatment; mandatory periodic drug testing; and the use of appropriate sanctions and other rehabilitation services.
- 13 (3)(a) Any jurisdiction that seeks a state appropriation to fund a 14 drug court program must first:
 - (i) Exhaust all federal funding that is available to support the operations of its drug court and associated services; and
 - (ii) Match, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, state moneys allocated for drug court programs with local cash or in-kind resources. Moneys allocated by the state must be used to supplement, not supplant, other federal, state, and local funds for drug court operations and associated services. However, from July 26, 2009, until June 30, 2013, no match is required for state moneys expended for the administrative and overhead costs associated with the operation of a drug court pursuant to RCW 70.96A.350.
 - (b) Any ((county)) jurisdiction that establishes a drug court pursuant to this section shall establish minimum requirements for the participation of offenders in the program. The drug court may adopt local requirements that are more stringent than the minimum. The minimum requirements are:
 - (i) The offender would benefit from substance abuse treatment;
- (ii) The offender has not previously been convicted of a serious violent offense or sex offense as defined in RCW 9.94A.030; and
- (iii) Without regard to whether proof of any of these elements is required to convict, the offender is not currently charged with or convicted of an offense:
 - (A) That is a sex offense;
 - (B) That is a serious violent offense;
 - (C) During which the defendant used a firearm; or

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

15 16

17

18 19

2021

22

2324

25

2627

2829

30

3637

38

- 1 (D) During which the defendant caused substantial or great bodily 2 harm or death to another person.
 - Sec. 6. RCW 2.28.175 and 2012 c 183 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

- 5 (1) ((Counties)) <u>Jurisdictions</u> may establish and operate DUI courts. Municipalities may enter into cooperative agreements with counties <u>or other municipalities</u> that have DUI courts to provide DUI court services.
 - (2) For the purposes of this section, "DUI court" means a court that has special calendars or dockets designed to achieve a reduction in recidivism of impaired driving among nonviolent, alcohol abusing offenders, whether adult or juvenile, by increasing their likelihood for successful rehabilitation through early, continuous, and intense judicially supervised treatment; mandatory periodic testing for alcohol use and, if applicable, drug use; and the use of appropriate sanctions and other rehabilitation services.
 - (3)(a) Any jurisdiction that seeks a state appropriation to fund a DUI court program must first:
 - (i) Exhaust all federal funding that is available to support the operations of its DUI court and associated services; and
 - (ii) Match, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, state moneys allocated for DUI court programs with local cash or in-kind resources. Moneys allocated by the state must be used to supplement, not supplant, other federal, state, and local funds for DUI court operations and associated services. However, until June 30, 2014, no match is required for state moneys expended for the administrative and overhead costs associated with the operation of a DUI court established as of January 1, 2011.
 - (b) Any jurisdiction that establishes a DUI court pursuant to this section shall establish minimum requirements for the participation of offenders in the program. The DUI court may adopt local requirements that are more stringent than the minimum. The minimum requirements are:
 - (i) The offender would benefit from alcohol treatment;
- (ii) The offender has not previously been convicted of a serious violent offense or sex offense as defined in RCW 9.94A.030, vehicular homicide under RCW 46.61.520, vehicular assault under RCW 46.61.522, or an equivalent out-of-state offense; and

p. 5 SB 5797.SL

- 1 (iii) Without regard to whether proof of any of these elements is 2 required to convict, the offender is not currently charged with or 3 convicted of an offense:
 - (A) That is a sex offense;

5

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

29

3031

3233

34

- (B) That is a serious violent offense;
- 6 (C) That is vehicular homicide or vehicular assault;
- 7 (D) During which the defendant used a firearm; or
- 8 (E) During which the defendant caused substantial or great bodily 9 harm or death to another person.
- 10 **Sec. 7.** RCW 2.28.180 and 2011 c 236 s 1 are each amended to read 11 as follows:
- 12 (1) ((Counties)) <u>Jurisdictions</u> may establish and operate mental 13 health courts.
 - (2) For the purposes of this section, "mental health court" means a court that has special calendars or dockets designed to achieve a reduction in recidivism and symptoms of mental illness among nonviolent, felony and nonfelony offenders with mental illnesses and recidivism among nonviolent felony and nonfelony offenders who have developmental disabilities as defined in RCW 71A.10.020 or who have suffered a traumatic brain injury by increasing their likelihood for successful rehabilitation through early, continuous, and intense judicially supervised treatment including drug treatment for persons with co-occurring disorders; mandatory periodic reviews, including drug testing if indicated; and the use of appropriate sanctions and other rehabilitation services.
 - (3)(a) Any jurisdiction that seeks a state appropriation to fund a mental health court program must first:
 - (i) Exhaust all federal funding that is available to support the operations of its mental health court and associated services; and
 - (ii) Match, on a dollar-for-dollar basis, state moneys allocated for mental health court programs with local cash or in-kind resources. Moneys allocated by the state must be used to supplement, not supplant, other federal, state, and local funds for mental health court operations and associated services.
- 35 (b) Any ((county)) jurisdiction that establishes a mental health 36 court pursuant to this section shall establish minimum requirements for

- 1 the participation of offenders in the program. The mental health court
- 2 may adopt local requirements that are more stringent than the minimum.
- 3 The minimum requirements are:
- 4 (i) The offender would benefit from psychiatric treatment or
- 5 treatment related to his or her developmental disability or traumatic
- 6 brain injury;
- 7 (ii) The offender has not previously been convicted of a serious 8 violent offense or sex offense as defined in RCW 9.94A.030; and
- 9 (iii) Without regard to whether proof of any of these elements is 10 required to convict, the offender is not currently charged with or
- 11 convicted of an offense:
- 12 (A) That is a sex offense;
- 13 (B) That is a serious violent offense;
- 14 (C) During which the defendant used a firearm; or
- 15 (D) During which the defendant caused substantial or great bodily
- 16 harm or death to another person.
- 17 **Sec. 8.** RCW 2.28.190 and 2011 c 293 s 11 are each amended to read 18 as follows:
- 19 Any ((county)) jurisdiction that has established a DUI court, drug
- 20 court, and a mental health court under this chapter may combine the
- 21 functions of these courts into a single therapeutic court.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 9. This act takes effect August 1, 2013.

Passed by the Senate April 25, 2013.

Passed by the House April 12, 2013.

Approved by the Governor May 15, 2013.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State May 16, 2013.

p. 7 SB 5797.SL